APPEAL NO. 010678

The claimant further testified that there was a period of time that she was reluctant to have

surgery done to her left wrist, because her right wrist was still not be able to function with two bad hands. The hearing o period of disability for the, injury is supported by	fficer's conclusion as to the
An appeals-level body is not a fact finder, and it does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact even if the evidence could support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. AppEl Paso 1991, writ denied). Only were we to conclude, which we do not in this case, that the hearing officer's determinations were so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be manifestly unjust would there be a sound basis to disturb those determinations. In re King's Estate, 150 Tex. 662, 224 S.W.2d 660 (1951); Pool v. Ford Motor Company, 715 S.W.2d 629, 635 (Tex. 1986). Since we find the evidence sufficient to support the determinations of the hearing officer, we will not substitute our judgment for hers. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 94044, decided February 17, 1994.	
Accordingly, the hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.	
	Thomas A. Knapp Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Michael B. McShane Appeals Judge	
Philip F. O'Neill Appeals Judge	